arXiv:1601.02271v2 [math.CO] 26 Oct 2016

Bounded colorings of multipartite graphs and hypergraphs*

Nina Kamčev † Benny Sudakov ‡ Jan Volec §

Abstract

Let c be an edge-coloring of the complete n-vertex graph K_n. The problem of finding properly colored and rainbow Hamilton cycles in c was initiated in 1976 by Bollobás and Erdős and has been extensively studied since then. Recently it was extended to the hypergraph setting by Dudek, Frieze and Ruciński [9]. We generalize these results, giving sufficient local (resp. global) restrictions on the colorings which guarantee a properly colored (resp. rainbow) copy of a given hypergraph G.

We also study multipartite analogues of these questions. We give (up to a constant factor) optimal sufficient conditions for a coloring c of the complete balanced m-partite graph to contain a properly colored or rainbow copy of a given graph G with maximum degree Δ. Our bounds exhibit a surprising transition in the rate of growth, showing that the problem is fundamentally different in the regimes $\Delta \gg m$ and $\Delta \ll m$ Our main tool is the framework of Lu and Székely for the space of random bijections, which we extend to product spaces.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study a problem of finding a copy of a given graph/hypergraph G in another edge-colored graph/hypergraph, such that the colors of the edges of G satisfy certain restrictions. A very general result of this type is the canonical Ramsey theorem.

Theorem 1.1 ([11])**.** *For every graph G, there exists an integer n such that any coloring of the edges of the complete graph K_n contains at least one of the following copies of G:*

(i) *a monochromatic copy, in which all the edges have the same color,*
(ii) *a rainbow copy, in which no two edges have the same color, or*
(iii) *a lexicographic copy, i.e. a copy whose vertices can be ordered in such a way that the color of any edge is entirely determined by the smaller endpoint.*

If we restrict the number of colors, the conclusion reduces to (i), i.e. we find a monochromatic copy of a graph G. It is natural to ask what are possible restrictions that guarantee (ii). To tackle this question, we give the following definitions.

Let H be a graph. Throughout the paper, by a *coloring of H*, we mean an edge-coloring $c : E(H) \to \mathbb{N}$. A coloring c is *locally k-bounded* if each vertex in V is incident to at most k edges of any given color. Furthermore, a coloring c is *globally k-bounded* if it has at most k edges of the same color. In both cases, k gives a lower bound on the number of colors used by c, so our restrictions are in a sense reciprocal to the

*Research supported by SNSF grant 200021-149111.
†Department of Mathematics, ETH, 8092 Zurich. Email: nina.kamcev@math.ethz.ch.
‡Department of Mathematics, ETH, 8092 Zurich. Email: benjamin.sudakov@math.ethz.ch.
§Department of Mathematics, ETH, 8092 Zurich. Email: jan@ucw.cz.

number of colors. Note that a locally 1-bounded coloring of H is exactly a proper coloring (where any two incident edges carry distinct colors), and a globally 1-bounded coloring is a rainbow coloring of H (in which all edges receive distinct colors).

For a given graph G, we say a coloring c of H is *G-proper* if it contains a properly colored copy of G, and *G-rainbow* if it contains a rainbow copy of G. A conjecture of Bollobás and Erdős from [4] states that any locally $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$-bounded coloring of K_n contains a properly colored Hamilton cycle (denoted by C_n). In [4] they proved a weaker result, that for $\alpha = \frac{1}{69}$, any locally αn-bounded coloring is C_n-proper. After several improvements of the constant α (see [7], [25] and [2]), the asymptotic variant of the conjecture was proved by Lo [18], that is, for $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, any locally αn-bounded coloring is C_n proper.

For general graphs G, it is natural to ask what is the minimum value of k for which any locally k-bounded coloring is G-proper. In particular, how does this k depend on the maximum degree of G? The intuition behind this question is that the easiest way to avoid a properly colored copy of G is to forbid an embedding of its vertex of maximum degree. Alon et al. [3] have shown that the coloring is certainly G-proper for $k = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\Delta(G)^{13.5}}$. This has been significantly improved by Böttcher, Kohayakawa and Procacci [5].

Theorem 1.2 ([5])**.** *If G is an n-vertex graph with maximum degree Δ, then any locally $\left(\frac{n}{22.4\Delta^2}\right)$-bounded coloring of K_n is G-proper.*

We have already hinted that global bounds on colorings yield rainbow copies of G, so all the stated results have parallels in this setting. An analogue of the Bollobás-Erdős conjecture was proposed in 1986 by Hahn and Thomassen [14]. They conjectured that there is a constant α such that any globally αn-bounded coloring of K_n is C_n-rainbow, which was proved by Albert, Frieze and Reed [1] for $\alpha = \frac{1}{64}$.

Using the same technique as for Theorem 1.2, Böttcher, Kohayakawa and Procacci have translated this result to any bounded-degree graph. This confirms a conjecture of Frieze and Krivelevich [13], which was originally only stated for G being a tree.

Theorem 1.3 ([5])**.** *If G is an n-vertex graph with maximum degree Δ, then any globally $\left(\frac{n}{51\Delta^2}\right)$-bounded coloring of K_n is G-rainbow.*

In this paper we generalize Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 in two different directions.

1.1 Multipartite graphs

Motivated by a question of Oriol Serra [24] asking how Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 adapt to the bipartite setting [24], in Section 3 we study colored subgraphs in bipartite and, more generally, multipartite graphs. We consider k-bounded colorings of the complete m-partite graph with n vertices in each class, which we denote by $K_{m\otimes n}$, and investigate which subgraphs they contain. In analogy with Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, we focus on properly colored and rainbow subgraphs with maximum degree Δ. Our results show that for a fixed value of m, the dependency between k and Δ exhibits a surprising discontinuous behavior when $\Delta = \Theta(m)$. The bipartite case (that is, when $m = 2$) of these questions is of particular interest due to the following relation to Latin transversals.

A *Latin square* L is an $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $[n]$ such that each row and each column contain each symbol exactly once. A *Latin transversal* in L is a transversal whose cells contain n different symbols. Notice that an $n \times n$ Latin square corresponds to a proper edge coloring of $K_{n,n}$ with n colors, and a Latin transversal in it corresponds to a rainbow perfect matching. A famous conjecture of Ryser [23] from 1967 states that

every $n \times n$ Latin square for odd n contains a Latin transversal. As a step towards this conjecture, Erdős and Spencer [12] showed that any globally $\frac{n-1}{4e}$-bounded coloring of $K_{n,n}$ contains a rainbow perfect matching. In [22], Perarnau and Serra studied the Latin-transversals problem, using the framework of Lu and Székely for applying local lemma to random injections [20]. One of their results gives an asymptotic count of the rainbow matchings in globally bounded colorings of $K_{n,n} = K_{2\otimes n}$. Here we study the problem of finding rainbow or properly colored copies of various graphs in edge-colored $K_{m\otimes n}$ for $m \geq 2$. Our first result in this direction is the following.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose c is a globally $\left(\frac{n}{110\Delta^2}\right)$-bounded coloring of $K_{m\otimes n}$, and let G be an m-partite graph with a partition $V(G) = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \cdots \cup U_m$ satisfying $|U_i| \leq n$, and maximum degree Δ. Then c is G-rainbow.*

We also prove a similar result for properly colored copies of G in locally bounded colorings of $K_{m\otimes n}$.

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose c is a locally $\left(\frac{n}{48\Delta^2}\right)$-bounded coloring of $K_{m\otimes n}$, and let G be an m-partite graph with a partition $V(G) = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \cdots \cup U_m$ satisfying $|U_i| \leq n$, and maximum degree Δ. Then c is G-proper.*

Conversely, we give graphs G and a coloring c simultaneously showing that both statements are optimal up to a constant factor: the coloring is globally bounded, and it still does not contain even a properly colored copy of G. Notice that the order of G in the following proposition is fixed (independent on n).

Proposition 1.6. *Suppose $m \geq 2$, q is a prime power, and $n \geq 3q^2$. There exists an m-partite graph G with at most $3q^2$ vertices in each part, maximum degree $\Delta \leq q + 2m$, and a globally $\left(\frac{n}{q^2+q}\right)$-bounded coloring of $K_{m\otimes n}$ which does not contain a properly colored copy of G.*

When $\Delta >> m$, the coloring is $O\left(\frac{n}{\Delta^2}\right)$-bounded, matching the bounds of Theorem 1.4 and 1.5. On the other hand, for $\Delta << m$ the problem becomes fundamentally different. By viewing $K_{m\otimes n}$ as an almost complete graph, we show that even $O\left(\frac{n}{\Delta}\right)$-bounded colorings contain the required copies of G. This embedding result is also matched by the corresponding construction, and it follows from the results of the second and the third author [26].

Theorem 1.7 ([26])**.** *There exist constants α and β such that the following holds. Let G be an N-vertex graph of max degree Δ, and K an N-vertex graph of minimum degree $N \cdot \left(1 - O\left(\Delta^{-1}\right)\right)$.*

(i) *Any locally $\left(\frac{N}{\alpha\Delta^2}\right)$-bounded coloring of K is G-proper.*

(ii) *Any globally $\left(\frac{N}{\beta\Delta^2}\right)$-bounded coloring of K is G-rainbow.*

By applying (i) to $\Delta \leq \delta m$ (where δ is a small constant), $N = mn$ and $K = K_{m\otimes n}$, we get that that any locally $\left(\frac{mn}{\alpha\Delta^2}\right)$-bounded edge-coloring of $K_{m\otimes n}$ is G-proper. The analogue is true for rainbow copies of G in globally bounded colorings of $K_{m\otimes n}$, using (ii). It is shown in [26] that the bounds are optimal up to a constant factor.

We emphasize that the definitions and hypotheses in all the theorems do not fix any particular partition or ordering of the parts of G.

1.2 Properly colored and rainbow copies of hypergraphs

The problem of Bollobás and Erdős on finding properly colored Hamilton cycles in locally bounded colorings extends naturally to hypergraphs and has recently been studied in [9] and [8]. We will be looking at edge

colorings of r-uniform hypergraphs H, that is, assignments $c : E(H) \to \mathbb{N}$. A subhypergraph G of H is said to be *properly colored* if any two overlapping edges of G receive different colors. Furthermore, if every edge of G receives a different color, the subgraph G is *rainbow*. We impose the same type of restrictions on the colorings c. A coloring c is *locally k-bounded* if the hypergraph induced by a single color has maximum degree at most k, which means that each vertex is contained in at least k edges of a particular color. Formally, $\Delta\left(H\left[c^{-1}(i)\right]\right) \leq k$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that c is *globally k-bounded* if each color is used at most k times.

Dudek, Frieze and Ruciński [9] have studied the existence of properly colored and rainbow Hamilton cycles in colored complete r-uniform hypergraphs. There are several different notions of hypergraph cycles. For $\ell \in [r-1]$, an *ℓ-overlapping cycle* $C_n^{(r)}(\ell)$ is an n-vertex hypergraph in which, for some cyclic ordering of its vertices, the edges consist of r consecutive vertices, and each two consecutive edges share exactly ℓ vertices. For $r = 2$ and $\ell = 1$, this reduces to the graph cycle. The two extreme cases, $\ell = 1$ and $\ell = r-1$, are usually referred to as *loose* and *tight* cycles respectively.

Given an n-vertex r-graph H, any subgraph of H isomorphic to $C_n^{(r)}(\ell)$ is called an *ℓ-overlapping Hamilton cycle*. It is easy to show that $C_n^{(r)}(\ell)$ has precisely $\frac{n}{r-\ell}$ edges and therefore we cannot expect H to have one unless $r-\ell$ divides n. Generalising the result of Bollobás and Erdős from [4], Dudek, Frieze and Ruciński [9] have shown the following (see also [8] for some further results).

Theorem 1.8 ([9])**.** *For every $\ell \in [r-1]$ there is a constant α (resp. β) such that if n is sufficiently large and divisible by $r-\ell$, then any locally $\alpha n^{r-\ell}$-bounded (resp. globally $\beta n^{r-\ell}$-bounded) coloring of $K_n^{(r)}$ is $C_n^{(r)}(\ell)$-proper (resp. -rainbow).*

Their proof relies heavily on the cyclic structure of $C_n^{(r)}(\ell)$. We show that, as in the result of Alon et al. [3], it is actually sufficient to impose restrictions on the degrees in G. For a set $S \subset V(H)$, we say the *degree*, or more accurately, $|S|$-degree of S is the number of edges of H containing S, denoted by $d(S)$ or $d_H(S)$. For a given $\ell \in \{0, 1, \ldots, r-1\}$, the maximum ℓ-degree of H is the maximum degree over all vertex-subsets of order ℓ, that is, $\Delta_\ell(H) := \max\{d_H(S) : S \subset V(H), |S| = \ell\}$. For example, the ℓ-overlapping r-uniform cycle has $\Delta_\ell = 2$ and $\Delta_{\ell+1} = 1$. Note that $\Delta_0(H)$ is just the number of edges of H. We apply the Lovász Local Lemma, or more specifically, the corresponding framework of Lu and Székely [20] in order to generalize Theorem 1.8 to hypergraphs G with bounded maximum ℓ-degrees.

Theorem 1.9. *For a given uniformity r and $\ell \in [r-1]$, there exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that the following holds. Let G be an r-uniform hypergraph on at most n vertices satisfying $\Delta_{\ell+1}(G) = 1$. Then the following holds.*

(i) *Any locally $\frac{c_1 n^{r-\ell}}{\Delta_1(G)\Delta_\ell(G)}$-bounded coloring of $K_n^{(r)}$ is G-proper.*

(ii) *Any globally $\frac{c_2 n^{r-\ell}}{\Delta_1(G)\Delta_\ell(G)}$-bounded coloring of $K_n^{(r)}$ is G-rainbow.*

The proof of Theorem 1.9 is given in Section 4, where we also show that the dependencies on n for both parts of the theorem are the best possible. For $l = r-1$, we were also able to show that the dependence on the maximum degrees of G is best possible.

2 Lovász Local Lemma and Lu-Székely framework for random injections

Probabilistic methods are very useful for constructing combinatorial objects satisfying certain properties. The idea is to show that an object chosen at random satisfies the properties in question with positive probability.

This is exactly the statement of the Lovász Local Lemma, and the sufficient conditions are certain mutual correlations between the desired properties.

The Lemma is usually formulated in terms of *bad events* $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_N$, which correspond to the undesired properties of our object. We say that a graph D with the vertex set $[N]$ is a *dependency graph* for a family of events $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \ldots, B_N\}$ if for every $i \in [N]$, the event B_i is mutually independent of all the events $B_j \neq B_i$ such that $ij \notin E(D)$. More generally, D is a *negative dependency graph* for $\mathcal{B}$ if for every $i \in [N]$ and every set $J \subset \{j : ij \notin D\}$, it holds that $\mathbb{P}\left[B_i \mid \bigwedge_{j\in J} \overline{B_j}\right] \leq \mathbb{P}[B_i]$.

The original version of the local lemma, due to Erdős and Lovász [10], used a dependency graph for the set of bad events in order to control the correlations. It was then observed by Erdős and Spencer [12] that in fact the same proof applies when we capture the correlations using a negative dependency graph. They called this variant the *lopsided Lovász Local Lemma*. We use the following version of the Lemma, often called the Asymmetric Local Lemma. It is proved e.g. in [21, Chapter 19.3] in the non-lopsided form.

Lemma 2.1 (Asymmetric Lopsided Lovász Local Lemma)**.** *Let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \ldots, B_N\}$ be a set of bad events with a negative dependency graph $D = ([N], \mathcal{E})$. If for all $i \in [N]$, $\mathbb{P}[B_i] \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $\sum_{ij\in\mathcal{E}} \mathbb{P}\left[B_j\right] \leq \frac{1}{4}$, then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigwedge_{i\in[N]} \overline{B_i}\right] > 0.$$

We will be using a type of negative dependency graph which is specific to the probability spaces of random injections. It is a slight generalization of a dependency graph first constructed by Lu and Székely [20]. However, we cannot quote their results directly because our probability space is slightly more general. Let $X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_m$ and $Y = Y_1 \times \ldots Y_m$, where the parts X_i and Y_i satisfy $|X_i| \leq |Y_i|$. Consider the probability space Ω generated by picking uniformly random injections $\sigma_i : X_i \to Y_i$ for $i \in [m]$, and setting $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \sigma_m$ to be the induced injection between X and Y. Denote the set of such injections by $\mathcal{S}$.

Let $\tau : T \to U$ be a given bijection between $T \subset X$ and $U \subset Y$. The corresponding *canonical event* B consists of all part-respecting injections $X \to Y$ which extend τ, that is

$$B = \Omega(T, U, \tau) := \{\sigma \in \mathcal{S} : \sigma(x) = \tau(x) \text{ for all } x \in T\}.$$

Two events $\Omega(T_1, U_1, \tau_1)$ and $\Omega(T_2, U_2, \tau_2)$ *$\mathcal{S}$-intersect* if the sets T_1 and T_2 intersect or U_1 and U_2 intersect. A result of Lu and Székely [20] implies that for a set of bad canonical events, the graph whose vertices are the bad events and edges connect exactly the $\mathcal{S}$-intersecting events is a negative dependency graph. Although Lu and Székely considered the case $m = 1$, their result can be straightforwardly generalized for arbitrary m.

Theorem 2.2. *Let Ω be the probability space generated by picking an injection from $\mathcal{S}$ uniformly at random, with the notation defined above. Furthermore, let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, B_2, \ldots B_N\}$ be some family of canonical events in Ω and let D be a graph on vertex set $[N]$ and $ij \in E(D)$ if and only if the events B_i and B_j $\mathcal{S}$-intersect. It holds that D is a negative dependency graph.*

For the sake of completeness, we present a proof of Theorem 2.2 in the Appendix. The dependency graph treated there and originally proposed by [20] is even a subgraph of D, that is, the actual theorem is slightly more general. Closely related generalizations of the original results of Lu and Székely formulated in the language of hypergraph matchings have been proven in [19] and [6]. We would also like to mention that generalizations analogous to Theorem 2.2 were recently studied from an algorithmic point of view by Harris and Srinivasan [15], and by Harvey and Vondrák [16].

3 Properly colored and rainbow copies of m-partite graphs

We start our exposition by studying bounded colorings of $K_{m\otimes n}$, where we seek colored copies of m-partite graphs with maximum degree $\Delta = \Omega(m)$. In this regime, we heavily rely on the m-partite structure of G and $K_{m\otimes n}$, and as the main tool apply a multidimensional version of the framework of Lu and Székely. Throughout the section, we omit the floor and ceiling signs whenever it is not critical.

For a slight convenience, we deal first with properly colored subgraphs in locally bounded colorings.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let G be an m-partite graph on vertex set $U = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots U_m$ such that $|U_i| \le n$ and no part U_i contains an edge. Let Δ be the maximum degree of G, and c a locally k-bounded edge-coloring of $K_{m\otimes n}$, where $k = \frac{n}{48\Delta^2}$. We take the vertex set of $K_{m\otimes n}$ to be $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots V_m$ with $|V_i| = n$ for all i. We claim that there exists a properly colored copy of G in $K_{m\otimes n}$, even with the additional constraint that each part U_i is mapped into V_i.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of injections $f' : U \to V$ satisfying $f'(U_i) \subset V_i$ for all $i \in [m]$, Ω the uniform probability space on $\mathcal{S}$, and f a random injection drawn from Ω. To index the bad events, we set up some notation. As first, fix an ordering of U. A triple u_1-u_2-u_3 of distinct vertices of G denotes a *cherry* in G, that is a path of length two with the middle vertex u_2. We will only be considering cherries u_1-u_2-u_3 with $u_1 < u_3$. Similarly, $[v_1v_2v_3]$ denotes a monochromatic cherry in colored $K_{m\otimes n}$, that is, a triple for which v_1v_2 and v_2v_3 are edges that satisfy $c(v_1v_2) = c(v_2v_3)$. Note that we only require $v_1 \neq v_3$ and not an ordering between them, so that the bijection that maps u_i to v_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$ is counted exactly once.

The bad events will be all events of form

$$B^{[v_1v_2v_3]}_{u_1\text{-}u_2\text{-}u_3} = \{f \in \mathcal{S} : f(u_i) = v_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3\},$$

for all choices of cherries u_1-u_2-u_3 and $[v_1v_2v_3]$ satisfying the conditions above. The set of bad events is denoted by $\mathcal{B}$.

As granted by Lemma 2.2, the graph on vertex set $\mathcal{B}$ and edges between $B^{[v_1v_2v_3]}_{u_1\text{-}u_2\text{-}u_3}$ and $B^{[v'_1v'_2v'_3]}_{u'_1\text{-}u'_2\text{-}u'_3}$ whenever the two events $\mathcal{S}$-intersect is a negative dependency graph. By definition, this occurs only when the corresponding cherries $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ and $\{u'_1, u'_2, u'_3\}$, or $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and $\{v'_1, v'_2, v'_3\}$ intersect. If the prior occurs, we call the events G-intersecting, and otherwise we call them K-intersecting.

Each bad event $B = B^{[v_1v_2v_3]}_{u_1\text{-}u_2\text{-}u_3}$ satisfies $\mathbb{P}[B] \le \frac{1}{n^2(n-1)} < \frac{1}{4}$, since there are always n possibilities for choosing the image of u_2, and at least $n(n-1)$ possibilities for embedding the leaves u_1 and u_3 (as they might lie in the same part V_i). By Lemma 2.1 it remains to prove for $B \in \mathcal{B}$

$$\sum_{\substack{B' \in \mathcal{B} \\ B' \ \mathcal{S}\text{-intersects } B}} \mathbb{P}[B'] \le \frac{1}{4}. \tag{1}$$

Upon showing that, with positive probability none of the bad events occur, in which case f yields a properly colored embedding of G into $K_{m\otimes n}$.

It remains to count the $\mathcal{S}$-intersecting events. Fix an event $B = B^{[v_1v_2v_3]}_{u_1\text{-}u_2\text{-}u_3}$. First we count the number $I_G(B)$ of events $B' = B^{[v'_1v'_2v'_3]}_{u'_1\text{-}u'_2\text{-}u'_3}$ which are G-intersecting with B. Without loss of generality, $u_1 \in \{u'_1, u'_2, u'_3\}$ (note that we allow more than one vertex in the intersection). We have two cases:

(i) If u_1 is a leaf of u'_1-u'_2-u'_3, then we have Δ choices for the apex u'_2 and $\Delta - 1$ choices for the second leaf as a neighbor of u'_2 in G. The ordering of the cherry u'_1-u'_2-u'_3 is then fixed by the requirement $u'_1 < u'_3$.

(ii) If u_1 is the apex u'_2, then there are $\frac{\Delta(\Delta-1)}{2}$ choices for the two leaves, whose ordering is again predetermined.

Altogether, this gives $\frac{3}{2}\Delta(\Delta-1)$ choices for u'_1-u'_2-u'_3. Each cherry in G can be mapped to at most n^2k monochromatic cherries in $K_{m\otimes n}$ in a part-respecting manner - there are n^2 ways to choose v'_1 and v'_2 inside the parts corresponding to u'_1 and u'_2, and then further k choices of v'_3 satisfying $c(v'_1v'_2) = c(v'_2v'_3)$. Summing up and multiplying by 3 to account for the fact that the intersection may occur at u_1, u_2 or u_3, we conclude

$$I_G(B) \leq \frac{9}{2}\Delta(\Delta-1)n^2k. \tag{2}$$

Next, denote the number of events which K-intersect B by $I_K(B)$. As before, let $B' = B^{[v'_1v'_2v'_3]}_{u'_1\text{-}u'_2\text{-}u'_3}$ be such an event and suppose $v_1 \in \{v'_1, v'_2, v'_3\}$. There are n ways of choosing the preimage of v_1 in the corresponding part of G. Again, we distinguish two cases.

(i) If $v_1 = v'_2$ is the apex of $[v'_1v'_2v'_3]$, then there are $\frac{\Delta(\Delta-1)}{2}$ ways of choosing the leaves of u'_1 and u'_3.

(ii) If v_1 is a leaf of $[v'_1v'_2v'_3]$, then there are $\Delta(\Delta-1)$ ways to complete the preimage of v_1 into a cherry u'_1-u'_2-u'_3 in G and the condition $u'_1 < u'_3$ determines whether $v_1 = v'_1$ or $v_1 = v'_3$.

Having chosen u'_1-u'_2-u'_3, there are nk ways to complete the monochromatic cherry $[v'_1v'_2v'_3]$ in $K_{m\otimes n}$, which gives at most $\frac{3}{2}\Delta(\Delta-1)n^2k$ bad events $\mathcal{S}$-intersecting B at v_1. Since the intersection can also occur at v_2 and v_3, the bound is

$$I_K(B) \leq \frac{9}{2}\Delta(\Delta-1)n^2k. \tag{3}$$

Introducing these bounds, using $\frac{n}{n-1} \leq \frac{4}{3}$ for $n \geq 4$ and $k \leq \frac{n}{48\Delta^2}$, we get

$$\sum_{\substack{B'\in\mathcal{B}\\ B' \ \mathcal{S}\text{-intersects } B}} \mathbb{P}[B'] \leq 2\cdot\frac{9}{2}\Delta(\Delta-1)n^2k\cdot\frac{1}{n^2(n-1)} < \frac{12\Delta^2k}{n} \leq \frac{1}{4},$$

which proves (1). □

We continue with the proof of our result on rainbow subgraphs in globally bounded colorings.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We follow the outline of the previous proof, but we now have to avoid the events that any two edges in our embedding of G carry the same color. Recall, G is an m-partite graph on vertex set $U = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \ldots U_m$, that is, parts U_i are independent sets with $|U_i| \leq n$. The maximum degree of G is denoted by Δ. Let c be a globally k-bounded edge-coloring of $K_{m\otimes n}$, where $k = \frac{n}{110\Delta^2}$. We take the vertex set of $K_{m\otimes n}$ to be $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \ldots V_m$ with $|V_i| = n$ for all i. We claim that there exists a rainbow copy of G in $K_{m\otimes n}$, even with the additional constraint that each part U_i is mapped into V_i.

As before, let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the set of injections $f' : U \to V$ satisfying $f'(U_i) \subset V_i$ for all i. Let f be an injection chosen uniformly at random from $\mathcal{S}$. The set of bad events is now extended to $\mathcal{B} \cup C$, where $\mathcal{B}$ and C are as follows. As before, $\mathcal{B}$ is the set of events of form $B^{[v_1v_2v_3]}_{u_1\text{-}u_2\text{-}u_3}$. Recall that u_1-u_2-u_3 is a cherry in G with apex u_2 and leaves satisfying $u_1 < u_3$, and $[v_1v_2v_3]$ is a monochromatic cherry in $K_{m\otimes n}$ with no specified ordering between the leaves.

Similarly, a *quadruple* $(u_1u_2)(u_3u_4)$ in G denotes two *disjoint* edges u_1u_2 and u_3u_4 in G such that $u_1 < u_2$, $u_3 < u_4$ and $u_1 < u_3$. A monochromatic *quadruple* in $K_{m\otimes n}$ is a 4-tuple $[v_1v_2v_3v_4]$ of distinct vertices $v_i \in V$ satisfying $c(v_1v_2) = c(v_3v_4)$. Subject to such choices of u_i and v_i, we define C be the set of events of form

$$B^{[v_1v_2v_3v_4]}_{(u_1u_2)(u_3u_4)} = \{f \in \mathcal{S} : f(u_i) = v_i \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, 4\}.$$

As granted by Lemma 2.2, the graph on vertex set $\mathcal{B} \cup C$ and edges between B and B' whenever the two events $\mathcal{S}$-intersect is a negative dependency graph. By definition, this occurs only when the cherries or quadruples corresponding to B and B' intersect.

Just like before, each event B in $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies $\mathbb{P}[B] \leq \frac{1}{n^2(n-1)} < \frac{1}{4}$, whereas events $B \in C$ satisfy a stronger inequality $\mathbb{P}[B] \leq \frac{1}{n^2(n-1)^2} < \frac{1}{4}$. Equality is attained when two pairs of vertices lie in the same part of G, say $u_1, u_3 \in U_i$ and $u_2, u_4 \in U_j$, and otherwise the probability is strictly smaller. By Lemma 2.1 it remains to prove for $B \in \mathcal{B} \cup C$,

$$\sum_{\substack{B' \in \mathcal{B} \cup C \\ B' \ \mathcal{S}\text{-intersects } B}} \mathbb{P}[B'] \leq \frac{1}{4}. \tag{4}$$

Upon showing that, with positive probability none of the bad events occur, in which case f yields a rainbow embedding of G into $K_{m \otimes n}$.

Consider a bad event $B \in \mathcal{B} \cup C$. We denote the number of events in $\mathcal{B}$ which G-intersect (resp. K-intersect) B by $I_G(B)$ (resp. $I_K(B)$). Analogously, $J_G(B)$ (resp. $J_K(B)$) denotes the number of events in C which G-intersect (resp. K-intersect) B. If B has the form $B^{[v_1v_2v_3]}_{u_1\text{-}u_2\text{-}u_3}$, fix $u \in \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ and $v \in \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. Otherwise, if $B = B^{[v_1v_2v_3v_4]}_{(u_1u_2)(u_3u_4)}$, fix $u \in \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ and $v \in \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$. Either way, each of the two vertices can be chosen in at most 4 ways (as opposed to 3 in the previous proof). We will be counting events that G-intersect or K-intersect B at u or v respectively, and then multiply the result by 4 to take into account all the possibilities. The bounds we obtain are valid in both cases, when $B \in \mathcal{B}$ as well as $B \in C$.

For the events $B' \in \mathcal{B}$ of form $B^{[v'_1v'_2v'_3]}_{u'_1\text{-}u'_2\text{-}u'_3}$ $\mathcal{S}$-intersecting B, we have the same count as in equations (2) and (3), with the increase by a factor of $\frac{4}{3}$ as explained above. It follows that

$$I_G(B) \leq 4 \cdot \frac{3}{2}\Delta(\Delta-1)n^2k = 6\Delta(\Delta-1)n^2k \quad \text{and} \tag{5}$$

$$I_K(B) \leq 6\Delta(\Delta-1)n^2k. \tag{6}$$

To bound $J_G(B)$, fix an event $B' = B^{[v'_1v'_2v'_3v'_4]}_{(u'_1u'_2)(u'_3u'_4)}$ with $u \in \{u'_1, u'_2, u'_3, u'_4\}$. In counting the choices for vertices u'_i and v'_i, we switch back and forth between G and $K_{m \otimes n}$ to get the best bounds. There are Δ possible choices for the vertex u' neighbouring u, and n^2 choices for the images v and v' of u and u' in the corresponding parts of G. Then we fix a pair $\{\tilde{v}, \tilde{v}'\}$ such that $c(\tilde{v}\tilde{v}') = c(vv')$, which can be done in k ways, since the coloring is globally k-bounded. The preimage of $\tilde{v}$ and $\tilde{v}'$ can again be chosen in at most $n\Delta$ ways. The ordering of vertices in G now uniquely determines the event $B^{[v'_1v'_2v'_3v'_4]}_{(u'_1u'_2)(u'_3u'_4)}$. Putting the numbers together, and taking into account at most four choices of u from $B = B^{[v_1v_2v_3]}_{u_1\text{-}u_2\text{-}u_3}$ or $B = B^{[v_1v_2v_3v_4]}_{(u_1u_2)(u_3u_4)}$ gives

$$J_G(B) \leq 4n^2\Delta kn\Delta = 4\Delta^2n^3k. \tag{7}$$

To control $J_K(B)$, once again fix an event $B' = B^{[v'_1v'_2v'_3v'_4]}_{(u'_1u'_2)(u'_3u'_4)}$ satisfying $v \in \left\{v'_1, v'_2, v'_3, v'_4\right\}$. We start by choosing the preimage u of v among the n possible vertices. From there, the argument is the same as for $J_G(B)$, implying $J_K(B) \leq 4\Delta^2n^3k$.

Summing up and using $k \leq \frac{n}{110\Delta^2}$, we get for $n \geq 5$

$$\sum_{\substack{B' \in \mathcal{B} \cup C \\ B' \ \mathcal{S}\text{-intersects } B}} \mathbb{P}[B'] \leq \frac{12\Delta(\Delta-1)n^2k}{n^2(n-1)} + \frac{8\Delta^2n^3k}{n^2(n-1)^2}$$
$$< \left(12 \cdot \frac{5}{4} + 8 \cdot \frac{25}{16}\right)\frac{\Delta^2 k}{n} \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

□

To conclude this section, we show that Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 are the best we can hope for up to a constant factor. The proof relies on some ideas from [26].

Proof of Proposition 1.6. As stated, let $q \geq m$ be a prime power, and we let $V(G) = P \cup L \cup T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \ldots T_{q^2+q} \cup S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \ldots S_{q^2+q}$, where $P = \{p_0, p_1, \ldots p_{q^2+q}\}$ and $L = \{\ell_0, \ell_1, \ldots \ell_{q^2+q}\}$ correspond to the points and the lines of the finite projective plane $PG(2,q)$, respectively. Moreover, for any $i \in \left[q^2+q\right]$, both the set T_i and the set S_i induces a clique of order $m-1$. We join p_0 and p_1 to all the vertices of T_1, p_1 and p_2 to all the vertices of T_2, and so on, up to p_{q^2+q-1}, p_{q^2+q} and T_{q^2+q}. Analogously, we connect the lines ℓ_{j-1} and ℓ_j to the vertices of the clique S_j for every $j \in \left[q^2+q\right]$. Finally, we join p_i to ℓ_j when the point p_i belongs to the line p_j. Note that our construction forces any embedding of G into $K_{m\otimes n}$ (regardless of the coloring) to place points into the same part of $K_{m\otimes n}$, and lines into the same part. Indeed, suppose there are two points p_i, p_{i+1} which are placed into two different parts of $K_{m\otimes n}$. Then, since they both connected to a clique T_{i+1} of size $m-1$, the vertices of this clique can not be placed into the remaining $m-2$ parts.

We now show that G is indeed m-partite, i.e. we can partition the vertices of G into independent sets $U_1, \ldots U_m$. To split $P \cup T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \ldots T_{q^2+q}$, we set $P \subset U_1$, and parts $U_2, \ldots U_m$ contain one vertex of each clique T_i. Vertices in $L \cup S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \ldots S_{q^2+q}$ are split in the analogous way, with say $L \subset U_2$. And indeed, each part of G has at most $2(q^2+q+1) \leq 3q^2$ vertices. Finally, the maximum degree of G is $\Delta(G) = (q+1) + (2m-2) \leq q+2m$, as stated.

The locally $\lceil \frac{n}{q^2+q} \rceil$-bounded coloring of $K_{m\otimes n}$ which we now describe is an analogue of the coloring from [26, Lemma 29], motivated by the canonical colorings of K_n. Let $V_1, V_2, \ldots V_m$ be the parts of $K_{m\otimes n}$, and let each part V_i be split into q^2+q clusters V_{ij} as evenly as possible. If x and y are vertices of $K_{m\otimes n}$ with $x \in V_{ij}$, $y \in V_{i'j'}$ and $i < i'$, then the edge xy gets color $(x, V_{i'j'})$. In other words, if we order the parts $V_1, \ldots, V_m$ vertically downward, the colors are indexed by $(x, V_{i'j'})$, where x lies strictly above $V_{i'}$ and each downward *fan* from x to a cluster $V_{i'j'}$ gets its own unique color. The resulting coloring c is globally bounded by the order of the clusters, that is by $\frac{n}{q^2+q}$.

Suppose there is a properly colored embedding $f : V(G) \longrightarrow V(K_{m\otimes n})$. Then $f(P) \subset V_i$ and $f(L) \subset V_j$, so, without loss of generality, $i < j$. By the pigeonhole principle, two lines, say ℓ_0 and ℓ_1, lie inside the same cluster. But then the cherry formed by ℓ_0, ℓ_1 and the representative of their intersection in $PG(2,q) \subset G$ is monochromatic by construction of c. □

4 Properly colored and rainbow copies of bounded-degree hypergraphs

This section is concerned with bounded edge-colorings of r-uniform hypergraphs. As in Theorems 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5, we establish upper bounds on k so that any locally (globally) k-bounded coloring of $K_n^{(r)}$ contains

a properly colored (rainbow) copy of a given hypergraph G. This result is given in Theorem 1.9. We also complement this result by showing that the dependence on n in the bounds on k is asymptotically the best possible.

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Let G be as in the statement, an r-uniform hypergraph satisfying $\Delta_{\ell+1}(G) = 1$ and $\Delta_i(G) = \Delta_i$ for $0 \le i \le \ell$. The vertex set of G is U, of order at most n, and the vertex set of the complete r-graph $K_n^{(r)}$ is V. We consider a coloring c of $K_n^{(r)}$, to which we impose different local or global restrictions.

We set up some notation. In the hypergraph setting, it is more convenient for us to index the bad events in terms of edges. Firstly, fix a total ordering on the subsets of U of order r, which induces an ordering on the edges of G. For $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, \ell\}$, a *cherry* in G of overlap i is an ordered pair of edges (e_1, e_2) satisfying $e_1 < e_2$ and $|e_1 \cap e_2| = i$. A vertex $u \in e_1 \cap e_2$ is called an *apex vertex*.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of all injections from U to V.

We will now define the canonical bad events in the uniform probability space on $\mathcal{S}$. Let (e_1, e_2) be a cherry of overlap i and $\tau : e_1 \cup e_2 \to V$ an injection satisfying $c(\tau(e_1)) = c(\tau(e_2))$. Notice that since τ is injective, the images $\tau(e_1) = \{\tau(v) : v \in e_1\}$ and $\tau(e_2)$ are edges of $K_n^{(r)}$, and the colours $c(\tau(e_1))$, $c(\tau(e_2))$ are well-defined. Moreover, $|\tau(e_1) \cap \tau(e_2)| = i$ is preserved. We define the corresponding canonical bad event (of overlap i)

$$B_\tau^{(e_1,e_2)} = \{f \in \mathcal{S} : f(u) = \tau(u) \text{ for all } u \in e_1 \cup e_2\}.$$

We denote the set of all bad events of overlap i by $\mathcal{B}_i$. Note that in all of the definitions above, we also allow $i = 0$, corresponding to the case of disjoint edges.

We first consider a locally k-bounded coloring c and prove statement (i) - that c is G-proper for $k = O\left(\frac{n^{r-\ell}}{\Delta_1\Delta_\ell}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{B}_\ell$ be the set of bad events. Lemma 2.2 grants that the graph on vertex set $\mathcal{B}$ and edges between $B_\tau^{(e_1,e_2)}$ and $B_{\tau'}^{(e_1',e_2')}$ whenever the two events $\mathcal{S}$-intersect is a negative dependency graph. By definition, this occurs only when $e_1' \cup e_2'$ intersects $e_1 \cup e_2$, or $\tau'\left(e_1'\right) \cup \tau'\left(e_2'\right)$ intersects $\tau(e_1) \cup \tau(e_2)$. If the prior occurs, we call the events G-intersecting, and otherwise we call them K-intersecting. In particular, if u lies in $\left(e_1' \cup e_2'\right) \cap (e_1 \cup e_2)$, we say the two events G-intersect at u, and analogously we say the two events K-intersect at v, if v lies in the intersection of $\tau'\left(e_1'\right) \cup \tau'\left(e_2'\right)$ and $\tau(e_1) \cup \tau(e_2)$.

Each bad event $B = B_\tau^{(e_1,e_2)}$ satisfies $\mathbb{P}[B] \le \frac{1}{n(n-1)\dots(n-2r+\ell+1)} < \frac{1}{4}$. Equality holds when B is of overlap ℓ, and otherwise the probability is strictly smaller. By Lemma 2.1, if every $B \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{\substack{B' \in \mathcal{B} \\ B' \ \mathcal{S}\text{-intersects } B}} \mathbb{P}[B'] \le \frac{1}{4}, \tag{8}$$

then with positive probability all events in $\mathcal{B}$ are avoided, i.e. $f(G)$ is a properly colored copy of G in $K_n^{(r)}$.

Fix an event $B = B_\tau^{(e_1,e_2)}$ and vertices $u \in e_1 \cup e_2$, $v \in \tau(e_1) \cup \tau(e_2)$. We define $I_G(B, i, u)$ to be the number of events B' of overlap i satisfying $u \in e_1' \cup e_2'$. Similarly, $I_K(B, i, v)$ is the number of events B' of overlap i satisfying $v \in \tau'(e_1') \cup \tau'(e_2')$. Note that there are at most $2r - i \le 2r$ choices of the vertex u and similarly $2r - i \le 2r$ choices of v.

Claim 1. For all $i \in [\ell]$,

$$I_G(B, i, u) = O(n^r \Delta_1 \Delta_i k) \quad \text{and} \quad I_K(B, i, v) = O(n^r \Delta_1 \Delta_i k).$$

To see the first inequality, there are at most Δ_1 ways of choosing an edge $e \in E(G)$ containing u, and at most $\binom{r}{i}$ ways to select the apex vertices from e. The vertices of e can be mapped to any r-tuple of vertices in V, for

which there are $n(n-1)\dots(n-r+1) \le n^r$ choices. From there, there are at most Δ_i ways to choose the other edge in G forming a cherry of overlap i with e, and whether $e = e'_1$ or $e = e'_2$ is determined by the ordering of edges. Without loss of generality, $e = e'_1$. Finally, as $\tau'(e'_1)$ is fixed, there are at most k choices for $\tau'(e'_2)$ which form a monochromatic cherry in $K_n^{(r)}$ with it. The number of orderings of $\tau'(e'_2)$ is suppressed into the constant, so altogether $I_G(B,i,u) = O(n^r\Delta_1\Delta_i k)$.

For the second inequality, there are n ways to select the preimage $\tau^{-1}(v) \in U$, and Δ_1 ways to extend it into an edge e of G. Then we fix the image $\tau(w)$ for all vertices $w \in e \setminus \{\tau^{-1}(v)\}$, for which there are at most $(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-r+1) \le n^{r-1}$ ways. Now we are in the same position as above, so there are further $O(\Delta_i k)$ ways to completely determine B'. Multiplying the different counts, we get $I_K(B,i,u) = O(n^r\Delta_1\Delta_i k)$.

Claim 2. For all $i \in [\ell]$,

$$\sum_{\substack{B'\in\mathcal{B}_i \\ B' \ \mathcal{S}\text{-intersects } B}} \mathbb{P}[B'] = O\left(n^{\ell-r}\Delta_1\Delta_\ell k\right). \tag{9}$$

Indeed, it is easy to see that $\Delta_{i-1}(G) \le (n-i+1)\Delta_i(G)$ for every i and every hypergraph G.

Namely, for a vertex set $A \subset U$ with $|A| = i-1$ and any vertex $v \in U \setminus A$, $d_G(A \cup \{v\}) \le \Delta_i(G)$ holds by the definition of Δ_i. Summing up over all the choices of v gives $d_G(A) \le (n-i+1)\Delta_i(G)$.

Iterating the inequality yields $\Delta_i(G) = O\left(n^{\ell-i}\Delta_\ell\right)$ for $i \le \ell$. An event of overlap i has probability exactly $\frac{1}{n(n-1)\dots(n-2r+i+1)} = O\left(n^{-2r+i}\right)$. Summing up $I_G(B,i,u)$ and $I_K(B,i,v)$ over all $i \in [\ell]$ and vertices $u \in U$ and $v \in V$, we get

$$\sum_{\substack{B'\in\mathcal{B} \\ B' \ \mathcal{S}\text{-intersects } B}} \mathbb{P}[B'] = \sum_{i\in[\ell]} O\left(n^r k\Delta_1 n^{\ell-i}\Delta_\ell\right)\cdot O\left(n^{-2r+i}\right) = O\left(n^{\ell-r}\Delta_1\Delta_\ell k\right),$$

as required. Therefore, setting $k = \frac{c_1 n^{r-\ell}}{\Delta_1\Delta_\ell}$ for $c_1 > 0$ sufficiently small, we get (8), which completes the proof of the part (i).

For the part (ii), suppose c is k-globally bounded, where $k = \frac{c_2 n^{r-\ell}}{\Delta_1\Delta_\ell}$ and $c_2 < c_1$ is a positive real we determine later. Note that since $c_2 < c_1$, the equation (9) still holds. It is therefore enough to prove a bound analogous to the one in Claim 1 for the number of bad events B' of overlap zero. To be precise, $f : U \to V$ is again a random injection, and the set of bad events is now $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{B}_0$, where $\mathcal{B}_0$ contains the events of overlap zero. The negative dependency graph has edges exactly between the pairs of $\mathcal{S}$-intersecting events in $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{B}_0$.

Fix an event $B = B_\tau^{(e_1,e_2)}$, vertices $u \in e_1 \cup e_2$ and $v \in \tau(e_1) \cup \tau(e_2)$, and define $I_G(B,i,u)$ and $I_K(B,i,v)$ just like before.

Claim 3. $I_G(B,0,u) + I_K(B,0,v) = O(n^r\Delta_0\Delta_1 k)$.

Recall that Δ_0 is just the number of edges of G. The count is exactly like before. For $I_G(B,0,u)$, we select an edge e of G containing u and an injection $\tau' : e \to V$ in $O(\Delta_1 n^r)$ ways, and then another edge and its image which matches $c(\tau'(e))$ in $O(\Delta_0 k)$ ways. Multiplying gives $I_G(B,0,u) = O(n^r\Delta_0\Delta_1 k)$. The same holds for $I_K(B,0,v)$ – we can select an edge e and an injection $\tau' : e \to V$ so that $v \in \tau'(e)$ in $O(\Delta_1 n^r)$ ways, and then we are in the same position as above. Summing up completes the proof of Claim 3.

Introducing $\Delta_0 = O\left(n^\ell\Delta_\ell\right)$ and $\mathbb{P}[B'] = O\left(n^{-2r}\right)$ for events B' of overlap 0 gives

$$\sum_{\substack{B'\in\mathcal{B}\cup\mathcal{B}_0 \\ B' \ \mathcal{S}\text{-intersects } B}} \mathbb{P}[B'] = O\left(n^{\ell-r}\Delta_1\Delta_\ell k\right). \tag{10}$$

We set $k = O\left(\frac{n^{r-\ell}}{\Delta_1\Delta_\ell}\right)$. Lemma 2.1 implies that then there exists an embedding f avoiding all events in $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{B}_0$, i.e. a rainbow embedding of G. □

We conclude the section with two constructions. Firstly, we show that the power $k = O\left(n^{r-\ell}\right)$ is the highest power of n for which we can guarantee an embedding. Secondly, for $l = r-1$ and $\Delta_1(G)\Delta_{r-1}(G) = \Theta(n)$, we cannot hope for a stronger embedding result than Theorem 1.9.

For the first construction, let $D_\ell^{(r)}(m)$ be an m-vertex r-uniform hypergraph such that each set of $\ell+1$ vertices is contained in exactly one edge. Note that a recent result of Keevash on the existence of designs [17] grants existence of such hypergraphs whenever m is sufficiently large and the parameters r, ℓ and m satisfy all the necessary divisibility conditions. Also note that each ℓ-subset of the vertices of $D_\ell^{(r)}(m)$ is contained in $m-\ell$ edges. In fact, we do not need a design, but only a hypergraph in which all the vertex subsets of order ℓ are contained in at least two edges, but each $(\ell+1)$-subset is contained in at most one edge. Such hypergraphs can be constructed probabilistically using standard nibble techniques.

Proposition 4.1. *Let r, ℓ and m be integers such that there exists a hypergraph $D_\ell^{(r)}(m)$. For any $n \geq m$ there exists a globally $n^{r-\ell}$-bounded coloring of $K_n^{(r)}$ which contains no properly colored copy of $D_\ell^{(r)}(m)$.*

Proof. Let V be the vertex set of $K_n^{(r)}$ with a given ordering, and let c be the following coloring of the edges of $K_n^{(r)}$ using $\left|\binom{n}{\ell}\right|$ colors. For each $v_1 < v_2 < \cdots < v_r \in V$, set

$$c(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell\}.$$

That is, the edges of $K_n^{(r)}$ are colored so that the color of each edge is uniquely determined by the first ℓ vertices. The coloring c is globally $n^{r-\ell}$-bounded. Suppose there is a properly colored copy of $D_\ell^{(r)}(m)$ in c, and let $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell$ be the minimal vertices in this copy. But then there are two edges e_1 and e_2 in this embedding of G containing $v_1, v_2, \ldots v_\ell$, so $c(e_1) = c(e_2) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r\}$, which is a contradiction.

□

The second result is a hypergraph extension of the tree construction that the second two authors used in [26].

Proposition 4.2. *For any $r \geq 2$, there is an r-uniform hypergraph G on n vertices satisfying $\Delta_1(G)\Delta_{r-1}(G) = \Theta(n)$, and a globally $O(1)$-bounded coloring of $K_n^{(r)}$ which is not G-proper.*

Proof. Let n_1 be a natural number and $n = 1 + n_1 + \binom{n_1}{r-1} n_1 = \Theta\left(n_1^r\right)$. Let G have vertex set $V = \{v\} \cup L_1 \cup L_2$. Here $|L_1| = n_1$ are vertices in the first level, which means that each $(r-1)$-tuple in L_1 forms an edge with the *root* v. Furthermore, each $(r-1)$-tuple $S \subset L_1$ has its own n_1 *children* belonging to L_2. In other words, for each $u \in L_2$, there is a unique $(r-1)$-tuple $S \subset L_1$ such that $S \cup \{u\}$ is an edge. Indeed, G has the 1-degree $\Delta_1(G) = \Theta\left(n_1^{r-1}\right)$ attained by v and the vertices in the first level. Moreover, $\Delta_{r-1}(G) \leq n_1$ by looking at any $r-1$ vertices in $L_1 \cup \{v\}$.

Next, we give the promised coloring c of $K_n^{(r)}$. Let n be partitioned into sets $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_{\frac{n}{r+1}}$ of order $r+1$. An edge $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots u_r\}$ satisfying $u_1 \in S_{i_1}$, $u_2 \in S_{i_2}$, up to $u_r \in S_{i_r}$ gets the color $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots i_r\}$ (viewed as a multiset). The coloring is globally $(r+1)^r$-bounded. Suppose that the bijection $f : V \to [n]$ induces a properly colored copy of G, and that, without loss of generality, the image of v lies in S_1. If the other r members of S_1 lie in $f(L_1)$, then they span r edges of color $\{1, 1, \ldots 1\}$. Otherwise, let $w \in L_2$ satisfy $f(w) \in S_1$. There is an $(r-1)$-tuple $S \subset L_1$ which forms an edge in G with w, and an edge with v. The edges $f(S \cup \{v\})$ and $f(S \cup \{w\})$ have the same color in the embedding given by f. □

5 Concluding remarks

In this paper we studied the problem of finding a rainbow and properly colored copy of a graph/hypergraph G with some degree restrictions in a bounded k-coloring of the complete multipartite graph/hypergraph. We obtained upper bounds on k in terms of maximum degree/ℓ-degree of G that guarantees locally and globally k-bounded colorings to be G-proper and G-rainbow, respectively. Moreover, for multipartite graphs, the dependence of k on other parameters in our bounds is the best possible up to a constant factor. However, there are several natural questions which remain open. Here we mention two of them that we find the most interesting. We state them only for properly colored copies of hypergraphs in locally bounded colorings, but the analogues for globally bounded colorings seeking rainbow copies are just as interesting.

The first question asks for the correct asymptotics of k that guarantee a properly colored copy of a tight Hamilton cycle in locally k-bounded colorings of $K_n^{(r)}$. What is the largest possible k, such that any locally k-bounded coloring of $K_n^{(r)}$ is $C_n^r(r-1)$-proper? In particular, for $r = 3$, is there an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that any locally $O(n^{1+\varepsilon})$-bounded coloring of $K_n^{(3)}$ contains a tight Hamilton cycle?

We have shown that the dependence on n in Theorem 1.9 is the best possible. However, apart from the case $l = r - 1$, we do not know if the dependence on the maximum degrees $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \ldots, \Delta_\ell$ of G is the correct one or not. The first unresolved case are the 3-uniform linear hypergraphs, i.e. hypergraphs G satisfying $\Delta_2(G) = 1$. Are there 3-uniform n-vertex *linear* hypergraphs G and $O\left(\frac{n^2}{\Delta_1(G)^2}\right)$-bounded colorings which are not G-proper?

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Oriol Serra for fruitful discussions on the topics related to this project and pointing out the references [6] and [19] to us. We are grateful to the anonymous referees for their valuable comments, which improved the presentation of the results.

References

[1] M. Albert, A. Frieze, B. Reed, *Multicolored Hamilton cycles*, Electron. J. Comb. 2 (1995), Research paper R10.

[2] N. Alon, G. Gutin, *Properly colored Hamilton cycles in edge-colored complete graphs*, Random Structures Algorithms 11(2) (1997), 179–186.

[3] N. Alon, T. Jiang, Z. Miller, D. Pritikin, *Properly colored subgraphs and rainbow subgraphs in edge-colorings with local constraints*, Random Structures Algorithms 23(4) (2003), 409–433.

[4] B. Bollobás, P. Erdős, *Alternating Hamiltonian cycles*, Isr. J. Math. 23 (1976), 126–131.

[5] J. Böttcher, Y. Kohayakawa, A. Procacci, *Properly colored copies and rainbow copies of large graphs with small maximum degree*, Random Structures Algorithms 40(4) (2012), 425–436.

[6] M. d. P. Cano Villa, *Rainbow Matchings in Hypergraphs*, Master's Degree Thesis, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, 2015.

[7] C. C. Chen, D. E. Daykin, *Graphs with Hamiltonian cycles having adjaccent lines different colors*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 21(2) (1976), 135–139.

[8] A. Dudek, M. Ferrara, *Extensions of Results on Rainbow Hamilton Cycles in Uniform Hypergraphs*, Graphs Combin. 31 (2015), 577 – 583.

[9] A. Dudek, A. Frieze, A. Ruciński , *Rainbow Hamilton cycles in uniform hypergraphs*, Electron. J. Comb. 19 (2012), # P46.

[10] P. Erdős, L. Lovász, *Problems and results on 3-chromatic hypergraphs and some related questions*, Infinite and finite sets 10(2) (1975), 609–627.

[11] P. Erdős, R. Rado, *A combinatorial theorem*, J. London Math. Soc. 25 (1950), 249–255.

[12] P. Erdős, J. Spencer , *Lopsided Lováasz local lemma and Latin transversals*, Discrete Appl. Math. 30 (1991), 151 – 154, ARIDAM III (New Brunswick, NJ, 1988).

[13] A. Frieze, M. Krivelevich, *On rainbow trees and cycles*, Electron. J. Comb. 15(1) (2008), Research paper R59.

[14] G. Hahn, C. Thomassen, *Path and cycle sub-Ramsey numbers and an edge-coloring conjecture*, Discrete Math. 62 (1) (1986), 29–33.

[15] D. G. Harris, A. Srinivasan, *A Constructive Algorithm for the Lovász Local Lemma on Permutations*, Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA '14), 907–925.

[16] N. J. A. Harvey, J. Vondrák, *An Algorithmic Proof of the Lovász Local Lemma via Resampling Oracles*, 2015 IEEE 56th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS 2015), 1327 – 1346.

[17] P. Keevash, *The existence of designs*, submitted. Preprint available on http://arxiv.org/abs/1401.3665.

[18] A. Lo, *Properly colored Hamiltonian cycles in edge-colored complete graphs*, Combinatorica (2012), 1 – 22.

[19] L. Lu, A. Mohr, L. Székely, *Quest for negative dependency graphs. Recent advances in harmonic analysis and applications*, Springer Proc. Math. Stat. 25 (2013), 243–258.

[20] L. Lu, L. Székely, *Using Lovász Local Lemma in the space of random injections*, Electron. J. Comb. 14 (1) (2007), Research paper R63.

[21] M. Molloy, B. Reed, *Graph coloring and the Probabilistic Method*, Algorithms Combin. 23, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[22] G. Perarnau, O. Serra, *Rainbow Perfect Matchings in Complete Bipartite Graphs: Existence and Counting*, Combin. Probab. Comput. 22 (2013) 783–799.

[23] H. J. Ryser, *Neuere Probleme der Kombinatorik*, Vorträge über Kombinatorik Oberwolfach, Mathematiches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, 24 – 29, 1967.

[24] O. Serra, *Private communication*.

[25] J. B. Shearer, *A property of the colored complete graph*, Discrete Math. 25 (1979), 175–178.

[26] B. Sudakov, J. Volec, *Properly colored and rainbow copies of graphs with few cherries*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, forthcoming.

A Multidimensional Lu-Székely

We now present a proof of Theorem 2.2. Note that the case $m = 1$ is a result of Lu and Székely from [20]. As in [20], we actually show that the following slightly stronger choice of a graph gives a negative dependency graph.

We say that two canonical events $\Omega(T_1, U_1, \tau_1)$ and $\Omega(T_2, U_2, \tau_2)$ in the probability space Ω *conflict* if

$$\exists x \in T_1 \cap T_2 : \tau_1(x) \neq \tau_2(x) \quad \text{or} \quad \exists y \in U_1 \cap U_2 : \tau_1^{-1}(y) \neq \tau_2^{-1}(y).$$

Clearly two conflicting events are disjoint, and therefore negatively correlated. We now show that just connecting the conflicting events suffices for a negative dependency graph, even if we require the injections to respect a given partition of X and Y.

Theorem A.1. *Let $X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_m$ and $Y = Y_1 \times \ldots Y_m$, where the parts X_k and Y_k satisfy $|X_k| \leq |Y_k|$ for all $k \in [m]$. Consider the probability space Ω generated by picking a uniformly random injection $\sigma : X \to Y$ satisfying $\sigma(X_k) \subset Y_k$ for all k. Denote the set of such injections by $\mathcal{S}$.*

Let $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_N$ be canonical events in Ω, and define the graph D' on $[N]$ by

$$E(D') = \{ij : B_i \text{ and } B_j \text{ conflict}\}.$$

Then D' is a negative dependency graph for the events $B_1, \ldots B_N$.

Since the dependency graph D' is a subgraph of the graph D in Theorem 2.2 with the edges between pairs of $\mathcal{S}$-intersecting events, it follows that D is also a negative dependency graph for the same set of events.

Proof. Our proof follows the outline of [20, Theorem 1], but there are several claims we need to verify in the multidimensional setting.

A *matching* between X and Y is a triple (T, U, τ), where τ is a part-respecting bijection from $T \subset X$ to $U \subset Y$, that is $\tau(X_k \cap T) \subset Y_k$ for all k. All the functions we consider will be part-respecting. Fix an event $B_i = \Omega(T, U, \tau)$ for a matching (T, U, τ), and a set of indices $J = J(i) \subset \{j \in [N] : ij \notin E(D')\}$. We are to show the inequality $\mathbb{P}\left[B_i \mid \wedge_{j\in J}\overline{B_j}\right] \leq \mathbb{P}[B_i]$, which is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigwedge_{j\in J} \overline{B_j} \mid B_i\right] \leq \mathbb{P}\left[\bigwedge_{j\in J} \overline{B_j}\right]. \tag{11}$$

Here we assume that $\mathbb{P}\left[\bigwedge_{j\in J} \overline{B_j}\right] > 0$, otherwise there is nothing to prove. The inequality follows immediately from the following claim.

Claim. For any canonical event $B' = \Omega(T, U', \tau')$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left(\bigwedge_{j\in J} \overline{B_j}\right) \wedge B_i\right] \leq \mathbb{P}\left[\left(\bigwedge_{j\in J} \overline{B_j}\right) \wedge B'\right]. \tag{12}$$

Intuitively, the claim says that upon $\wedge_{j\in J}\overline{B_j}$, there is no mapping of T that is less likely than $T \xrightarrow{\tau} U$.

Proof of Claim. Fix a canonical event $B' = \Omega(T, U', \tau')$. Let $J' = J'(J(i), B')$ be the set of indices $j \in J$ so that B_j does not conflict B'. If $j \in J \setminus J'$, then B_j conflicts B', that is B' implies $\overline{B_j}$. Therefore

$$\overline{B_j} \wedge B' = B', \quad \text{so} \quad \left(\bigwedge_{j\in J} \overline{B_j}\right) \wedge B' = \left(\bigwedge_{j\in J'} \overline{B_j}\right) \wedge B'.$$

The idea is that the functions $\sigma \in \wedge_{j\in J'} \overline{B_j}$ are equally likely to map T via τ to U as they are to map it via τ' to U'. Formally, we construct an automorphism of the probability space Ω which fixes each of the events $B_j = (T_j, U_j, \tau_j)$ for $j \in J'$, but maps B' to B_i.

To do this, we set up some notation. Let ρ be a part-respecting permutation of Y, i.e. a bijection $Y \to Y$ satisfying $\rho(Y_k) \subset Y_k$ for all $k \in [m]$. The permutation ρ determines an action on the matchings

$$\pi_\rho(\sigma) = \rho \circ \sigma \quad \text{for all } (P, Q, \sigma).$$

Clearly π_ρ takes any matching (P, Q, σ) to a matching $(P, \rho(Q), \rho\sigma)$ with the same domain. Furthermore, it preserves the uniform measure on Ω (for this it is crucial to notice that ρ preserves parts of Y). Finally, the action of π_ρ on the canonical events in Ω is described by

$$\pi_\rho(\Omega(P, Q, \sigma)) = \Omega(P, \rho(Q), \rho\sigma).$$

Now we are ready to show that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left(\bigwedge_{j\in J'} \overline{B_j}\right) \wedge B_i\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[\left(\bigwedge_{j\in J'} \overline{B_j}\right) \wedge B'\right]. \tag{13}$$

Let $\rho : Y \to Y$ be a part-respecting bijection defined by

$$\rho(y) = y \text{ for any } y \in Y \setminus U' \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(y) = \tau(\tau'^{-1}(y)) \text{ for } y \in U'.$$

We first check that ρ fixes points of U_j for $j \in J'$. This is clear for $y \in U_j \setminus U'$. For $y \in U_j \cap U'$, there is an $x \in T$ with $\tau'(x) = y$. Since the events B_j with $j \in J'$ conflict neither B_i nor B', this x satisfies $\tau(x) = \tau_j(x) = \tau(x)' = y$, so indeed $\rho(y) = y$, and therefore $\pi_\rho(T_j, U_j, \tau_j) = (T_j, U_j, \tau_j)$. Furthermore, $\rho(\tau'(x)) = \tau(x)$ for every $x \in T$, so $\pi_\rho(T, U', \tau') = (T, U, \tau)$.

This proves Equation (13), since the two events correspond to each other under the automorphism π_ρ. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left[\left(\wedge_{j\in J} \overline{B_j}\right) \wedge B_i\right] &\le \mathbb{P}\left[\left(\wedge_{j\in J'} \overline{B_j}\right) \wedge B_i\right] \\
&= \mathbb{P}\left[\left(\wedge_{j\in J'} \overline{B_j}\right) \wedge B'\right] \\
&= \mathbb{P}\left[\left(\wedge_{j\in J} \overline{B_j}\right) \wedge B'\right].
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim. Keeping T fixed, the events $B' = \Omega(T, U', \tau')$ across all the matchings (T, U', τ') partition the probability space Ω, so summing up equation (13) over all such B' gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left[\wedge_{j\in J'} \overline{B_j}\right] &= \sum_{B'} \mathbb{P}\left[\left(\wedge_{j\in J'} \overline{B_j}\right) \wedge B'\right] \\
&\ge \sum_{B'} \mathbb{P}\left[\left(\wedge_{j\in J'} \overline{B_j}\right) \wedge B_i\right] \\
&= \sum_{B'} \mathbb{P}\left[\left(\wedge_{j\in J'} \overline{B_j}\right) \mid B_i\right] \mathbb{P}\left[B_i\right] \\
&= \sum_{B'} \mathbb{P}\left[\left(\wedge_{j\in J'} \overline{B_j}\right) \mid B_i\right] \mathbb{P}\left[B'\right] \\
&= \mathbb{P}\left[\left(\wedge_{j\in J'} \overline{B_j}\right) \mid B_i\right],
\end{aligned}$$

where in the fourth line we used the fact that $\mathbb{P}[B_i] = \mathbb{P}[B']$ by the uniformity of our probability space Ω. □

Since any two conflicting events in the space Ω are $\mathcal{S}$-intersecting, Theorem 2.2 immediately follows.